

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/808,607	03/25/2004	Hak-goo Lee	1793.1175	5024	
	21171 STAAS & HA	7590 07/24/2007 LSEY LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		BOAKYE, ALEXANDER O			
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2616		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				07/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/808,607	LEE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALEXANDER BOAKYE	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	SS		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reployed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHE, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION.  y be timely filed  S from the mailing date of this commu  JDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status ,					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 and 2an       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2bn       This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2bn       This action is application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final.  ance except for formal matter	•	erits is		
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-9,12,14 and 19 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 10,11,13,15 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 16-17 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		<i>j</i>		
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prince application from the International Burents.</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list.</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apporting to the contract of	olication No eceived in this National Sta	ge		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date omal Patent Application			

Art Unit: 2616

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 10,13,15,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Davies et al. (US Patent # 7,161,897).

Regarding claim 10 Davies teaches a method of advertising information on a domain name service server the method comprising (Fig. 4): storing (column 15, lines 61-64) according to a predetermined dynamic routing protocol, link state information of a router (104) which has a domain name service server (106,120, 122,124 of Fig.4) in a network subnet to which the router belongs, in a link state advertisement of the router (column 2,lines 45-48); and transmitting the link state information of the router having the domain name service server (106,120,122,124 of Fig.4) in the router subnet (104), and stored in the link state advertisement, to all routers within an autonomous system to which the router belongs, through a flooding procedure of the predetermined dynamic routing protocol (column 2, lines 45-48).

Art Unit: 2616

Regarding claim 13, Davies teaches a computer-readable recording medium having thereon a program for executing a method of advertising information on a domain name service server, the method comprising:

Storing (column 15, lines 6164), according to a predetermined dynamic routing protocol, link state information of a router, which has a domain name service server in a network subnet to which the router belongs, in a link state advertisement of the router (column 2, lines 45-48); and transmitting the link state information of the router having the domain name service server in the router subnet, and stored in the link state advertisement, to all routers within an autonomous system to which the router belongs, through a flooding procedure of the predetermined dynamic routing protocol (column 2, lines 45-48 and column 14, lines 31-37).

Regarding claim 15, Davies teaches a method, comprising: automatically setting a domain name service (DNS) server address of a node by searching the domain name service server on a network using a node discovery process of a DNS server side router and a predetermined dynamic routing protocol of the DNS server side router (column 13,lines 23-32).

Regarding claim 18, Davies teaches a method, (Fig. 4) comprising: dynamically searching a position of a domain name service (DNS) server

Art Unit: 2616

(106,120,122,124) connected to the Internet (102) using a predetermined routing protocol by informing link state information of the DNS server (106,120,122,124) to all routers connected to a network to transmit the position information of the DNS server to predetermined hosts which ask the position of the DNS server, thereby automatically setting an address of the DNS server on the predetermined hosts (column 2, lines 45-48 and column 14, lines 31-37).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davies et al. (US Patent # 7,161,897) as applied to claim 10 above and in view of Kwiatkowski (US Patent # 7,245,640).

Regarding claim 11, Davies teaches all the claimed limitations as previously discussed with respect to claim 10 above, but fails to explicitly teach that the predetermined dynamic routing protocol is an open shortest path first dynamic routing protocol. However Kwiatkowski discloses that the predetermined dynamic routing protocol is an open shortest path first dynamic routing protocol (column 2,lines 40-46). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2616

invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Kwiatkowski into the system of Davies in order to compute the reachability of the destinations coupled to network.

## **Allowable Subject Matter**

Claims 16-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-9,14, 12, 19 are allowable

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: As to claims 1-9 and 14, the prior art of record does not teach advertising by the requesting router having received the reply, a router advertisement message containing the address of the domain name service server to a subnet to which the requesting router having received the reply belongs,

thereby searching a location of the domain name service server on the network using the predetermined dynamic routing protocol.

As to claims 12, the prior art of record does not teach advertising by the requesting router having received the reply, a router advertisement message containing the address of the domain name service server to a subnet to which the requesting router having received the reply belongs, thereby searching a location of the domain name service server on the network using the predetermined dynamic routing protocol. As to claim 19, the prior art of record does not teach advertising by the requesting router

Page 6

Art Unit: 2616

having received the reply, a router advertisement message containing the address of the domain name service server to a subnet to which the requesting router having received the reply belongs, thereby searching a location of the domain name service server on the network using the predetermined dynamic routing protocol.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Boakye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3183. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30am to 6:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached on (571) 272-3179. The Fax number is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or PUBLIC PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Electronic Business Center (EBC) numbers at 866-217-9197 and 703-305-3028.

Alexander Boakye

Art Unit: 2616

Patent Examiner
Alambankye
7/19/2007

Page 7